



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

U
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/824,924 | 04/15/2004 | Clemens Rickert | 09251-US | 7349 |
| 7590 | 08/09/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| A. Nicholas Trausch Patent Department DEERE & COMPANY One John Deere Place Moline, IL 61265-8098 | | | KOVACS, ARPAD F | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 3671 | | |
| DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 11 |
| | 10/824,924 | RICKERT ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Árpád Fábián Kovács | 3671 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8 June 2005.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 13-15 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leposa et al (DE 3231953 A1).

Leposa et al (DE 3231953 A1) discloses:

In re claims 1, 12:

a self-aligning intake auger (9), pivotal at the first end about a substantially vertical axis (ref 12; in re claim 5), wherein the rear end (14) can be mounted in a rear pendulum or swivel bearing (16); also see the translated Abstract, pivotal of the auger shown in fig 2 (at ref 31); as shown in fig 2, the drive includes a shaft (pointing outward of the drive) in order to drive the auger.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leposa et al (DE 3231953 A1).

It is noted that as shown in fig 4, the first/forward end of the auger is pivotal around a pivot axis taken at ref 11 (cl. 4).

Although a mechanical/hydraulic drive is shown by the prior art, the drive (ref 17) can be any of the well known drives including but not limited to from a group of: hydraulic, mechanical, electrical, pulley driven and gear drive.

All these drives have been well established in the art and well known to pick and choose between them.

The ball joint is known as in fig 3-5.

The drive shaft is not shown to be driven on the first end of the crop lifter auger as it is the forward end as related to a forward direction of travel.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to drive the drive shaft on the forward end of the auger as related to a forward direction of travel or the rear end (as disclosed), since the the applicant did not state a reason of the advantage to place the drive at the front end.

5. Claims 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leposa et al (DE 3231953 A1), in view of Phares (3451200).

Leposa does not show a universal joint (while ball joint is inherent in fig 3-5).

Leposa discloses the claimed device except for a universal joint.

Phares discloses that it is known in the art to provide a universal joint (ref 83).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Leposa with the teachings of Phares, in order to allow the drive shaft greater flexibility.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner acknowledged the amendment reciting "auger driven by a drive shaft" & "the drive shaft is driven ..."

As shown in fig 2, the drive includes a shaft (pointing outward of the drive) in order to drive the auger.

Because of the amendment made, the prior art in re claim 2 could no longer anticipate the claimed feature. Specifically, in claim 2, it is recited "wherein the first end of the crop lifter auger is the forward end as related to a forward direction of travel."

Applicant's argument that the prior art only discloses the drive at the second end, it is noted that the dependent claim defines the first & second of the auger.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 571 272 6990. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571 272 6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Árpád Fábián Kovács
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3671

ÁFK